

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/11/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/626,794	07/22/2003	Tracee E.J. Eidenschink	S63.2-11088US03	1221
23552 . 7:	590 09/11/2006		EXAMINER	
MERCHANT & GOULD PC P.O. BOX 2903			HO, UYEN T	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office A 41 and O-	10/626,794	EIDENSCHINK, TRACEE E.J.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho	3731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value of the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ju	ıne 2006.	•				
· ·						
,_	<i>7</i> —					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>33-45</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>33-45</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) \(\sum \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) \(\sum \) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	atent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/626,794

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 33-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Wilson et al. (6,165,195). Wilson et al. disclose a dual balloon catheter (figs. 27A-28, 32) comprising an elongate catheter body (90) having at least one inflation lumen, a first guidewire and a second guidewire (96, 99), first (longer) and second (shorter) balloons (91, 92), a disc (93), a bifurcated stent (100).

Although, Wilson et al. do not exclusively disclose a single inflation lumen and the balloon can be separate piece of an elongated catheter or a single piece continuous member of the elongated catheter, it is well known in the art to provide one inflation lumen through catheter body (90) for Wilson et al.'s balloon in order to inflate the dual balloon since the dual balloon is configured such that it requires only one lumen to inflate the dual balloon and it is also well known in the art the balloons can be separated or form in one piece with the catheter. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a single inflation lumen into Wilson et al.'s device in order to inflate the dual balloon. Further more with a single inflation lumen the dual balloon would be easily inflated at the same

Page 3

time so that the bifurcated stent can be deployed more uniformly. It would have been obvious matter of design choice to make the balloon separately from the catheter or as one piece with the catheter since applicant has not disclosed the advantage of having separated balloons bonded to the catheter to solve any stated problem or for any particular purpose at it appears that the balloons would perform equally well as being made separately and bonded to the catheter or being made as one piece with the catheter. Doing so would inherently required sealing member to couple or sealing attached the proximal and distal portions of the balloons to the elongated body/catheter. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ sealing rings/discs with adhesive material into the Wilson et al.'s dual balloon catheter in order to provide a better attachment or seal to attach the balloons about the catheter body as when the balloons being made separately from the catheter.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to (Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho whose telephone number is 571-272-4696. The examiner can normally be reached on MULTIFLEX Mon. to Sat...

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ANHTUAN NGUYEN can be reached on 571-272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3731

September 5, 2006